## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA Civil Action No.: 1:24-cv-01100-CCE-JEP

FREDDIE FOUST,	)
Plaintiff,	) ) DEPORT FOR THE ELLING OF
v.	) REPORT FOR THE FILING OF SEALED DOCUMENTS
DUKE UNIVERSITY,	Local Rule 5.5
Defendant.	) ) )
Pursuant to Local Rule 5.5, the pa	arties have conferred and state as follows:
and the potential need for filing document of any confidential documents that may stipulations to avoid the need to file cert redactions of immaterial confidential indocuments under seal.  Non-Parties: Because a non-party	sed the issues of confidentiality raised in this case into under seal. That discussion included the nature is be involved in the case, the possibility of using ain documents, and the possibility of agreed-upon formation in filings to avoid the need for filing that produced documents pursuant to a protective is in a produced to be filed that the case is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to a protective is a produced to be filed to a protective is a produced to a
parties agree to use the default procedure motion to seal is not the party claiming confer with the party claiming confident days before filing the documents, to dis- motion to seal must certify that the re-	w, if any, documents will be filed under seal. The res of LR 5.4(c). In addition, if the party filing the g confidentiality, the filing party must meet and tiality as soon as practicable, but at least two (2) scuss narrowing the claim of confidentiality. The equired conference has occurred, and the party rting materials required by LR 5.4(c)(3) within 14
address claims of confidentiality and red	ith Many Confidential Documents. In order to luce the need to file briefs and exhibits under seal dure set out in the attached proposal, either jointly eration by the Court.

ſ	Other relevant information:	Not Applicable	

Respectfully submitted this the 29th day of April, 2025.

Richberg Law, PLLC

## /s/ Shayla Richberg

Shayla Richberg 3326 Durham-Chapel Hill Blvd Building B-120A Durham, NC 27707 DefendMe@RichbergLaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

## <u>/s/ Vanessa N. Garrido</u>

Robert A. Sar (N.C. Bar No. 22306) Vanessa N. Garrido (N.C. Bar No. 53470) 8529 Six Forks Road, Suite 600 Raleigh, NC 27615

Telephone: 919.787.9700 Facsimile: 919.783.9412 Bob.Sar@ogletree.com

Vanessa.Garrido@ogletree.com

Attorneys for Duke University

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that the undersigned, on this date, electronically filed the foregoing REPORT FOR THE FILING OF SEALED DOCUMENTS with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF System, which will give electronic notice of the same to the following CM/ECF participants:

Shayla Richberg Richberg Law, PLLC 3326 Durham-Chapel Hill Blvd Building B-120A Durham, NC 27707 DefendMe@RichbergLaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

This the 29<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2025.

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

/s/ Vanessa N. Garrido
Robert A. Sar (N.C. Bar No. 22306)
Vanessa N. Garrido (N.C. Bar No. 53470)
8529 Six Forks Road, Suite 600
Raleigh, NC 27615
Telephone: 919.787.9700
Facsimile: 919.783.9412
Bob.Sar@ogletree.com
Vanessa.Garrido@ogletree.com

Attorneys for Duke University